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What is Speech Segmentation?

the process of taking the phonetic transcription of an audio speech segment and determining where in time particular phonemes occur in the speech segment.
Determining the location of known phonemes is important to a number of speech applications:

- When developing an ASR system, “good initial estimates … are essential” when training Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) parameters (Rabiner and Juang, 1993, p. 370).

- Knowledge of phoneme boundaries is also necessary in some cases of health-related research on human speech processing.

- and other applications...
How to perform Speech Segm.?

- Manually:
  
  Manual alignment has been reported to take between 11 and 30 seconds per phoneme (Leung and Zue, 1984).

  Manual alignment is too time consuming and expensive to be commonly employed for aligning *large corpora*. 
How to perform Speech Segm.?

- Speech Recognition Engines that can perform Speech Segmentation:
  - HTK - Hidden Markov Model Toolkit
  - CMU Sphinx
  - Open-Source Large Vocabulary CSR Engine Julius

- Wrappers:
  - Prosodylab-Aligner: python / HTK
  - P2FA: python / HTK
  - and many others...
How to perform Speech Segm.?

Graphical User Interface: **SPPAS** (Bigi, 2012)

Speech Segm. is also called: **Alignment**
On which languages?

- SPPAS can perform speech segmentation of:
  - French, English, Italian, Spanish, Chinese, Taiwanese, Japanese.

- Requirement: **an acoustic model** for each language.
an Acoustic Model???
Yes, an Acoustic Model!

- It's a probability distribution (a 5-states HMM, blah blah blah blah). But, don't matter! It's not necessary to understand.

- The model is trained from data

![Diagram showing the relationship between text, audio, and acoustic model training.](image)
Impact of the training data on the Speech Segmentation

- Measure:
  - the impact of the quality vs quantity
  - the impact of the speech style
- How to measure the impact of the training set on speech segmentation?

![Diagram showing the process of training and testing acoustic models.]

Training set → Training → Acoustic Model → Test set → Automatically time-aligned set
Evaluating Automatic Speech Segm.?

- Compare automatic segm.
  with a human segm.

- What to compare:
  - Duration
  - Position of phoneme boundaries
  - Middle of the phoneme

Manual: 

Automatic:
Evaluating Automatic Speech Segm.? 

- Measure what percentage of the automatic-alignment boundaries are within a given time threshold of the manually-aligned boundaries.

Agreement of humans on the location of phoneme boundaries is, on average, 93.78% within 20 msec on a variety of English corpora (J-P. Hosom, 2008).
Manual vs Automatic

\[ \Delta = T(\text{Automatic}) - T(\text{Manual}) = -0.09\text{s} \]

- I preferred to evaluate the center of the phonemes
## French Phoneset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vowels</th>
<th>Consonants</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a~</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o clusters /o/ and /O/</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o~</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU clusters /2/ and /@/</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU9 is /9/</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td></td>
<td>dummy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U~ clusters /e~/ and /9~/</td>
<td>r clusters /r/ and /R/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training corpus

The difficulties are that corpora are:

1. from various file formats
2. speech is segmented at various levels (phones, tokens, utterances)
3. ortho. transcriptions are of various qualities
4. corpora are of various speech styles

Points 1 and 2 are solved by “scripting the data”
Point 3 and 4 are the purpose of this study.
# Training corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corpus name</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Speech Duration</th>
<th>Style</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Manually phonetized</td>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>Political debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurom1</td>
<td>Ortho. standard</td>
<td>26 min</td>
<td>Read paragraphs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>manually tokenized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read-Speech</td>
<td>Ortho. standard</td>
<td>98 min</td>
<td>Read sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AixOx</td>
<td>Ortho. standard</td>
<td>122 min</td>
<td>Read paragraphs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CID</td>
<td>Enriched ortho.</td>
<td>7h30min</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MapTaskAix</td>
<td>Standard ortho.</td>
<td>2h48min</td>
<td>Conversation Task-oriented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test corpus

- Read Speech:
  - about 2 minutes of AixOx (1748 phonemes)

- Spontaneous Speech:
  - about 2 minutes of CID (1854 phonemes)

- Manually phonetized and segmented:
  - By one expert, then revised by another one.

- the test consists in:
  - Automatic segm. of the phonemes of each sentence;
  - Compare with the manual segmentation:
    - The time threshold is fixed to 40 ms.
Training procedure

- Manually time-aligned DataSet / 1
- “Well” phonetized DataSet / 2
-Automatically phonetized DataSet / 3
Question 1: quality vs quantity

- Perform step 1 from DataSet1 (3 min)
  - $\Delta < 40$ ms:
    - Read speech 82.61%
    - Conversation 81.44%

- Perform step 2 from DataSet2 (42 min)
  - $\Delta < 40$ ms:
    - Read speech 85.07%
    - Conversation 87.86%

- Split DataSet3:
  - perform as many step 3 as sub-sets.
Step 3. Compare sub-sets

The quality plays a decisive role
The sooner the better

- Introduce all manually annotated data as soon as possible in the training procedure.

- Re-Perform steps 1 and 2:
  - $\Delta < 40$ ms:
    - Read Speech: 94.16%
    - Conversational Speech: 92.77%
  - This model is (now) pretty stable.

- DataSet3:
  - perform as many step 3 as sub-sets.
## Question 2: speech style

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\Delta &lt; 40 \text{ ms}$ Read Speech (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta &lt; 40 \text{ ms}$ Conversational Speech (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>94.16</td>
<td>92.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3. Read Speech</td>
<td>93.02</td>
<td>92.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3. Read Speech + AixOx</td>
<td>91.59</td>
<td>90.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3. MapTaskAix</td>
<td>89.93</td>
<td>89.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3. CID</td>
<td>93.25</td>
<td>92.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3. Read Speech + CID</td>
<td><strong>93.36</strong></td>
<td><strong>93.42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Acoustic Model

The selected sub-sets of DataSet3 are useful to perform a 4th step to train a Triphone model:

- \( \Delta < 40 \text{ ms} \):
  - Read Speech: 95.08%
  - Conversational Speech: 95.42%
Other measures: Duration

Vowels Phone Delta Durations

read speech

spontaneous speech
Other measures: start boundary

read speech

Vowels Phone Start Position

spontaneous speech

Vowels Phone Start Position
Other measures: end boundary

Vowels Phone End Position

read speech

Vowels Phone End Position

spontaneous speech
Conclusion

This work enables advices to be given to data producers:

- Requirements for a Monophone Acoustic Model:
  - at least 3 minutes of time-aligned data
  - 30-60 minutes manually phonetized data

- Requirements for a Triphone Acoustic Model:
  - a pronunciation dictionary
  - at least 8 hours of “well”-transcribed speech

- From these data, I can train an acoustic model and add the new language in SPPAS!
Forced Alignment on Children Speech (FACS)
- FA = Phonetization + Speech Segmentation (Bigi, 2011)
- EVALITA 2014.

Multilingual model:
- speech segmentation of an un-trained language
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